

# Harbour Challenge 09 Team Feedback Survey Results

## Feedback from Teams

### 1 Learning Symposium

No	Description	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Average
1.1	Ken Harrison - Quality	6	4	5	5	6	5	6	5	5	6	4	5	4	4	5.0
	Ken Harrison - Relevance	3	5	6	4	6		6	5	4	6	3	2	5	5	4.6
1.2	Mick Holton - Quality	5	4	4	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	2	3	5	5	4.6
	Mick Holton - Relevance	6	5	5	6	6		5	5	4	4	3	5	5	5	4.9
1.3	Peter Kirwin- Quality	6	3	5	3	6	4	5	5	4	6	2	4	2	5	4.3
	Peter Kirwin - Relevance	3	6	6	5	6		5	6	4	6	2	4	2	5	4.6
1.4	Tony Waller - Quality	6	4	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	2	4	4.6
	Tony Waller - Relevance	6	5	5	4	6		4	5	5	4	3	5	2	5	4.5
1.5	Catering at Symposium	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	6	4	1	3	5	4.4
1.6	<b>Overall Learning Symposium Rating</b>	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	4.9
1A.1	Team briefing & best practice intensive - Quality	6		3	5	3	5	5	4	5	6	4	5	3	5	4.5
	Team briefing & best practice intensive - Relevance	6		4	5	5	5	5	4	5	6	4	6	4	6	5.0
1A.2	Improvements to best practice intensive															
2	Poor PA System															
3	Felt as if the scenarios were being pre focused															
4	Was a little bit short. Maybe consider breaking crews up initially into roles (medic, Tech), run through their bits then bring teams back together and explain scenario changes															
5	Poor PA System, unable to hear due to echo of facility															
6	Better PA															
9	more access to vehicles to cut															
12	Smaller groups especially on the cutting pit. Better audio as it was difficult to hear/understand															
14	better seating															

### 2 Trade Show

Not applicable this year

### 3 Tools in the Challenge Pits







5.8 Applicability to on road experience - Controlled

- 1 Very relevant, good knowledge and teamwork needed for more effective results
- 2 On road not being shadowed by an assessor you would some things differently
- 3 should have 2 casualties but not an entrapment for both - scenarios not consistent
- 4 the experience of 2 casualties requiring medical attention and triaging for extrication
- 5 great emphasis on prioritising casualties (makes you think), a complex scenario but realistic
- 6 learned a lot about triage and dealing with patients before arrival of medical assistance
- 7 will improve our communications
- 9 Great!
- 10 Applicable to what is required  
cutting these new vehicles highlights problems when using certain ?? Required to achieve the best results for
- 11 stakeholders  
value and applicability was low as we are in an urban unit and ambo's are always on scene first doing patient care. Our
- 12 focus in on extrication not patient triage
- 13 Penetration of large object is rare, manikin ok only when assessor is exceptional at role playing
- 14 Good

5.8 Applicability to on road experience - Entrapped

- 1 gained great knowledge to feedback to troops at home
- 2 There may be a slightly blurred line between entrapped and controlled scenarios, both quite similar
- 3 serious entrapment ok, no consistency across scenarios
- 4 the exposure to full entrapment working with vehicle on its roof
- 5 great extremely valuable consolidates the need for plan A & B
- 7 more careful with equipment after this competition
- 9 Great!
- 10 Applicable to what is required  
cutting these new vehicles highlights problems when using certain ?? Required to achieve the best results for
- 11 stakeholders
- 12 Good simulated common call outs with realistic technical challenges
- 13 realistic
- 14 Good









10	symposium may have been a little basic for permanent crews although well presented											
11	<p>Our team when attending the workshop were looking forward to trying techniques and using tools that we have had no exposure to (stab fast). We see this as a great opportunity to enhance the use of equipment the team are wanting to use. Being shown how to use boards and collars and extrication devices of which we have been working with since 2001 and not have the ability to be able to test tools on new cars and see what can be done we see as a lost opportunity. As this is</p> <p>has taken back to our state for all to use.</p>											
11	<p>Currently points awarded to teams that have given a casualty handover. Teams need to be focused back on completing all steps prior to handover. Take away these points and place back in the packaging of casualty and exercise best practice and less haist will be better management of team safety and casualty management.</p>											
11	<p>Also needs to be clearly articulated about the colour of second medica assessors helmet at a point in the controlled event</p> <p>toolies were confused with the yellow helmet</p>											
12	<p>Tea &amp; coffee was insufficient, no drinking water provided on first day and limited access during event. Ticket system for food on the first day didn't work. The caterer could not cope and took too long and they ran out of food quickly</p>											
12	<p>Facility was uncomfortable, no heating and was extremely cold. Grandstands were uncomfortable and cold to sit on for extended times. The audio system wasn't very good.</p>											
12	<p>the pits were too close together and carry over noise from the other pits. The entry to the pits were often crowded and difficult to navigate.</p>											
12	<p>First aid kit was too large. We weren't allowed to remove the oxygen bottle from the bag and use our kit. This was not advised in the rules.</p>											
12	<p>There should have been displays from equipment providers so we can see and learn about equipment</p>											
12	<p>Workshop - it would have been beneficial to have an opportunity to practice and try new techniques on the late model vehicles. Some teams don't have access to any new vehicles.</p>											

